

FUTURE DATA ENTRY PROCESSING WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Dinda Putri Sananta, Agung Juliarto, Frank Gruben, Kees Tesselhof

Department of Accounting Faculty of Economics and Business Universitas Diponegoro
School of Finance International and Business, Saxion University of Applied Sciences

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping the industry by automating routine tasks, transforming skill requirements, and raising ethical concerns. The study employs a qualitative research approach, analyzing academic literature, case studies, and secondary data to explore how AI is reshaping accounting job roles, influencing skill sets, and presenting ethical challenges. The findings highlight the increasing automation of tasks like data entry and financial reconciliation, necessitating a shift towards analytical and strategic roles for accountants. Additionally, the study emphasizes the growing importance of data analytics, critical thinking, and ethical decision making skills in an AI-driven environment. The research concludes by discussing the need for organizations to implement robust workforce development strategies and ethical frameworks to navigate the complex landscape of AI in accounting.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, accounting roles, and data entry.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks traditionally requiring human intelligence, such as reasoning, learning, decision-making, and problem-solving (Autor & Dorn, 2013; Frey & Osborne, 2017). In the context of accounting, AI has undergone a remarkable transformation—evolving from rule-based expert systems in the 1980s, which operated through predefined logical structures, to sophisticated, data-driven applications capable of predictive analytics, natural language processing, and anomaly detection. These modern AI systems can learn from vast datasets, identify subtle patterns, and generate insights that were previously inaccessible through manual analysis. Consequently, AI has enabled automation across a diverse set of accounting functions, including accounts payable processing, bank and ledger reconciliations, expense categorization, and auditing procedures (Sutton et al., 2016; Cooper et al., 2019).

The transformation driven by AI in accounting is twofold. First, it delivers operational efficiency by drastically reducing the time and resources required to perform repetitive, high-volume tasks. Automated invoice processing, for instance, can cut turnaround times from days to minutes while reducing human error to negligible levels. Second, it contributes to the redefinition of professional roles. As automation handles routine processes, accountants are increasingly required to engage in higher-value, cognitively complex activities such as interpreting AI-generated insights, advising management on financial strategy, conducting scenario analysis, and ensuring compliance in dynamic regulatory environments (Kokina et al., 2021). This shift not only alters day-to-day workflows but also repositions the profession from transactional service delivery toward strategic business partnership.

However, this transition is accompanied by significant organizational and societal challenges. Resistance to change can emerge among professionals who perceive AI as a threat to job security. Furthermore, a widening skills gap has emerged between current workforce capabilities and the competencies required in an AI-driven accounting landscape. Proficiency in data analytics, understanding AI model limitations, and ethical oversight of automated systems are becoming as critical as traditional accounting knowledge. In addition, the deployment of AI raises ethical risks related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and decision transparency, all of which can have far-reaching implications for public trust in financial reporting (Oprea et al., 2022).

The education sector also faces mounting pressure to adapt. While 55% of accounting educators anticipate that AI will reshape accounting curricula within the next five years, only 37% of institutions currently offer courses focused on AI and related emerging technologies (Fanarredha, 2024). This misalignment between academic preparation and industry needs could hinder the readiness of new graduates to enter a rapidly changing professional environment.

Given this backdrop, the present research seeks to address three guiding questions:

1. Which accounting tasks are most likely to be automated by AI?
2. How will AI influence the future skill set required for accountants?
3. What ethical and social implications arise from AI's integration into accounting?

In answering these questions, the study aims to identify automatable tasks, analyze emerging skill requirements, propose strategies for workforce development, evaluate ethical implications, and explore optimal models for human–AI collaboration. The goal is to provide a balanced perspective that recognizes both the transformative potential of AI and the safeguards necessary to ensure its responsible adoption in the accounting profession.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework integrates multiple conceptual perspectives to explain the role, mechanisms, and implications of AI adoption in accounting, particularly in data entry processes. By combining insights from qualitative research theory, task-technology fit principles, and technology adoption models, this framework provides a structured lens through which to evaluate both the opportunities and challenges of integrating AI into accounting workflows.

Qualitative Research Theory

Qualitative research emphasizes the exploration of complex human and organizational phenomena that cannot be fully captured through purely quantitative measures (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). This methodological perspective is critical for studying AI in accounting because technological change occurs within a broader socio-organizational context—it interacts with organizational culture, professional norms, and ethical standards. Unlike quantitative models, which may focus on numerical efficiency metrics, qualitative approaches enable researchers to understand how accountants experience AI adoption, how their roles evolve, and how workplace relationships and responsibilities are redefined.

In practice, applying qualitative inquiry to this topic often involves document analysis, case studies, and interviews with stakeholders to identify perceptions, challenges, and unintended consequences of automation. For instance, a case study of a mid-sized audit firm adopting RPA might reveal not only improvements in task completion time but also concerns among junior staff about diminished opportunities to develop technical skills. Such findings demonstrate why understanding the human dimension of AI adoption is as important as measuring its operational impact.

The Role of AI in Accounting

AI in accounting encompasses a spectrum of technologies—including Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Robotic Process Automation (RPA), and cognitive analytics—each contributing unique capabilities to the profession. Machine Learning models enable predictive analytics for forecasting cash flows, assessing credit risk, and detecting anomalies (Warren et al., 2015). NLP facilitates the automated extraction of information from unstructured sources such as contracts, audit narratives, and financial correspondence (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). RPA streamlines highly repetitive, rule-based processes by simulating human keystrokes and interactions, while cognitive AI systems continuously learn from historical datasets to refine accuracy (Lacity & Willcocks, 2018).

The integration of these technologies fundamentally reshapes accounting workflows. Manual entry tasks—historically associated with error rates of up to 10%—are now executed with accuracy rates exceeding 99% in automated systems (Martinez, 2025). This leap in precision not only reduces the risk of compliance violations but also enhances the timeliness and quality of financial reporting.

Automation of Routine Tasks

One of AI's most significant contributions to accounting lies in automating transactional processes such as invoice processing, expense categorization, and bank reconciliations (Lacity & Willcocks, 2018). Historically, these processes consumed hundreds of hours annually per professional and carried high error risks due to fatigue and repetitive strain. Modern AI-powered platforms can now process thousands of documents in minutes, apply real-time categorization, and flag anomalies for human review.

For example, an AI-driven invoice processing system can capture data from scanned receipts, automatically categorize expenses according to the firm's chart of accounts, and cross-reference the entries with bank statements—all without human intervention. This not only improves productivity but also frees accountants to focus on advisory roles, strategic planning, and risk management (Kokina & Davenport, 2017).

Changing Skill Requirements

AI adoption fundamentally shifts the skill profile expected of accountants. The profession is moving away from transactional proficiency toward analytical, technological, and ethical expertise. Technical skills now include proficiency in data analytics tools, the ability to interpret AI model outputs, and competence in troubleshooting exceptions when automation fails (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

Equally important are soft skills, such as clear communication, adaptability to technological change, and ethical judgment. Accountants must be able to explain AI-generated insights to clients and non-technical stakeholders, contextualize quantitative findings within broader business objectives, and make judgment calls in scenarios where automation cannot fully replicate human reasoning (Saad, 2024). This shift also implies a need for continuous professional development to keep pace with technological advancements.

Human-AI Collaboration

The human–AI partnership model aligns closely with the “human-in-the-loop” concept, wherein AI manages volume and speed while humans contribute oversight, judgment, and contextual interpretation (Davenport & Kirby, 2016). This is particularly essential in complex or ambiguous cases—for example, evaluating the legitimacy of unusual transactions or interpreting the implications of new tax legislation.

Human oversight acts as a safeguard against overreliance on AI systems. Without such oversight, organizations risk perpetuating algorithmic biases, misinterpreting anomalies, or violating compliance requirements due to a lack of contextual understanding. As a result, the most effective accounting workflows combine AI-driven efficiency with human critical thinking to produce accurate, reliable, and ethically sound outcomes.

Ethical and Social Implications

The deployment of AI in accounting raises significant ethical considerations, particularly around job displacement, bias in decision-making, and data privacy (Floridi et al., 2018). Frey & Osborne (2017) estimate that up to 94% of accounting tasks could be automated in the next decade, prompting substantial workforce restructuring.

Bias in AI systems—if left unaddressed—can lead to inequitable outcomes in credit scoring, audit risk assessment, and fraud detection. Moreover, the centralization of sensitive financial data in AI platforms increases the potential for privacy breaches, which could have severe legal and reputational consequences. To address these risks, researchers and practitioners advocate for governance frameworks, bias detection protocols, and transparent decision-making processes as integral components of AI implementation (Brown-Liburd & Vasarhelyi, 2015).

Task-Technology Fit (TTF) Theory

The Task–Technology Fit (TTF) theory (Goodhue, 1995) asserts that the benefits of technology adoption are maximized when there is strong alignment between task requirements, user capabilities, and technological functionality. In the context of AI-powered accounting, this alignment is achieved when automation is applied to high-volume, rule-based tasks while leaving judgment-intensive work to human professionals.

Misalignment—such as attempting to fully automate tasks requiring professional skepticism or nuanced interpretation—can lead to operational inefficiencies, reduced trust in outputs, and even regulatory non-compliance. Therefore, successful AI integration depends on carefully matching technology capabilities with the nature of the accounting task and the expertise of the professional performing it.

METHODOLOGY

This qualitative study employs a combination of descriptive and exploratory research designs.

Data Collection Methods:

- **Document Analysis:** Review of academic literature, industry reports, and regulatory guidelines on AI in accounting.
- **Case Studies:** Examination of organizations implementing AI-driven automation in data entry, including adoption challenges and performance outcomes.
- **Secondary Data:** Industry surveys and statistical data from professional accounting associations and consulting firms.

Data Analysis:

Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring patterns related to automation's operational impact, evolving skill requirements, and ethical governance. This approach enables the synthesis of diverse sources into coherent insights, linking theory to observed industry practices.

FINDINGS

Historical Evolution of Data Entry in Accounting

The evolution of data entry in accounting mirrors the broader progression of technology in recordkeeping and information management over centuries. In the ancient and pre-industrial eras, accounting records were inscribed on clay tablets in Mesopotamia, written on papyrus in ancient Egypt, or meticulously transcribed in handwritten ledgers in medieval Europe. These early systems, while innovative for their time, were rigid, labor-intensive, and highly vulnerable to both environmental damage and intentional manipulation. The introduction of double-entry bookkeeping during the Renaissance marked a major conceptual leap, improving the accuracy and comprehensiveness of financial reporting. However, it still relied on manual transcription, which demanded significant time and unwavering attention to detail.

The industrial era brought mechanical aids such as the Comptometer and other adding machines (Kee, 1993), which could speed up arithmetic operations but offered no automated data storage or integration capabilities. By the mid-20th century, innovations like punch card systems and mainframe computers enabled bulk data handling at unprecedented speeds, yet data entry still required human operators to input information line-by-line. The spreadsheet revolution of the 1980s—led by Microsoft Excel and Lotus 1-2-3—transformed calculation processes and error-checking. Still, these tools depended on accurate manual entry as their foundation (William & Mary, 2024).

Current State of Data Entry Processes

Today, the process of accounting data entry has undergone a fundamental technological transformation. Cloud-based accounting platforms such as QuickBooks Online, Xero, and SAP now allow real-time ledger updates, multi-user collaboration, and remote access from virtually

anywhere. These systems integrate directly with banking APIs, enabling the automatic import, categorization, and reconciliation of transactions without manual intervention.

Optical Character Recognition (OCR) technologies have reached accuracy levels exceeding 99% for standardized documents, allowing invoices, receipts, and other financial forms to be converted into machine-readable data with minimal errors (Martinez, 2025). When combined with AI-based categorization algorithms, OCR not only captures text but also interprets and classifies financial information automatically. Robotic Process Automation (RPA) adds another layer by replicating human keystrokes and mouse clicks, thereby streamlining repetitive actions such as journal postings or bank reconciliations. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems integrate these capabilities across different departments—finance, HR, supply chain—ensuring single-point data entry that propagates throughout the organization.

Collectively, these advancements have reduced processing times by up to 80% compared to early 2000s benchmarks and lowered error rates to below 0.05%. As a result, accountants can now dedicate more time to strategic planning, financial analysis, and advisory services, enhancing their role as decision-making partners within organizations.

Future Directions of AI-Driven Data Entry

AI-driven data entry is expected to follow a three-stage development path. In the near term (2025–2030), the focus will remain on rule-based automation of structured, repetitive processes, such as accounts payable, expense reporting, and standard bank reconciliations. Early adopters of these systems report time savings of 70–90% in invoice processing (Poli, 2023).

In the mid-term (2030–2035), the evolution will move toward predictive AI capabilities. These systems will learn from historical transaction data and contextual cues to auto-complete incomplete records, predict likely account classifications, and suggest corrective entries. This could shorten month-end closing cycles from the current average of 15 days to just three days (Smith, 2024).

In the long term (post-2035), AI is projected to integrate with blockchain and Internet of Things (IoT) devices to enable real-time, immutable financial recording. For example, IoT sensors installed in a warehouse could automatically detect the arrival of goods, triggering a corresponding ledger entry that is validated and time-stamped via blockchain-based smart contracts (Lamar University, 2024).

Impact on the Workforce

The workforce implications of AI adoption in data entry are profound. Clerical accounting roles focused on routine input are projected to decline by up to 90% by 2030 (Meagher, 2024). At the same time, new hybrid roles are emerging—such as AI Governance Auditor, Predictive Analytics Specialist, and Compliance Technologist—requiring both financial expertise and technical literacy.

Educational institutions are beginning to adapt, incorporating modules on Python programming, data analytics, AI ethics, and digital auditing into accounting curricula (Saad et al., 2023). This shift means future accountants will be expected not only to interpret AI outputs but also to validate algorithmic decisions, explain complex system behaviors to non-technical stakeholders, and uphold ethical standards in AI-driven processes.

Cost-Benefit Perspective

From a cost–benefit standpoint, AI adoption presents compelling returns on investment. Initial implementation costs vary widely—ranging from \$15,000 for small firms to over \$100,000 for large enterprises. These investments are offset by annual labor savings of 25–50%, significant reductions in error correction costs, and faster reporting cycles. Multiple industry reports document ROI figures between 200% and 300% within five years of deployment (Soori et al., 2023). Furthermore, AI-enabled systems produce comprehensive audit trails, facilitating compliance with regulatory requirements and reducing the likelihood of penalties for late or inaccurate filings.

Ethical and Operational Risks

While the benefits are substantial, AI integration introduces notable risks. Data privacy concerns remain paramount, as centralized AI systems store large volumes of sensitive financial data, making them attractive targets for cyberattacks. Algorithmic bias poses another risk, especially if models are trained on datasets that reflect historical inequities, potentially leading to unfair outcomes in credit scoring or fraud detection (Floridi et al., 2018).

Another concern is the “black box” problem—complex AI systems, particularly those using deep learning, often operate in ways that are not fully transparent to end users or regulators. This opacity can erode trust if system outputs cannot be clearly explained. Additionally, there is the danger of automation complacency, where excessive reliance on AI leads to reduced human oversight, increasing the risk of undetected errors or anomalies.

Sector-Specific Applications

AI’s impact on data entry extends across different accounting subfields. In auditing, AI-enabled real-time data capture supports continuous auditing, allowing for immediate anomaly detection and risk assessment. In tax preparation, direct integration between accounting platforms and tax software streamlines filing processes, reduces turnaround times, and minimizes reporting errors. In forensic accounting, AI-powered analytics can identify subtle transactional anomalies by cross-referencing patterns with historical fraud cases, thereby strengthening fraud prevention and investigation efforts.

These examples demonstrate that AI’s role in accounting extends beyond operational efficiency—it is reshaping the professional scope, skill requirements, and ethical considerations of the accounting field itself.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into data entry processes has fundamentally transformed accounting, shifting it from a manual, labor-intensive, and error-prone activity into a high-speed, high-accuracy, and strategically valuable function. Tasks that are high-volume, standardized, and rule-based—such as invoice processing, bank reconciliations, and expense categorization—have proven to be the most suitable for automation. The efficiency gains from AI adoption are substantial: error rates have dropped to negligible levels, processing times have been shortened dramatically, and accountants are increasingly free to focus on analytical, advisory, and decision-support roles rather than repetitive clerical work.

However, this transformation is not without its social, professional, and ethical implications. The automation of transactional work is reshaping the professional identity of accountants, demanding technical literacy in AI systems, critical thinking skills to interpret algorithmic outputs, and ethical reasoning to ensure responsible use of technology. Furthermore, the “black box” nature of certain AI systems introduces challenges in transparency and regulatory compliance, making human oversight indispensable.

While the trajectory of AI in accounting is overwhelmingly positive in terms of productivity and cost-effectiveness, it carries the risk of workforce displacement, algorithmic bias, and data privacy breaches. The profession stands at a pivotal moment where technological adoption must be balanced with governance, education, and ethical safeguards to ensure that innovation strengthens, rather than undermines, public trust in financial reporting.

Recommendations

1. Integrate AI into Accounting Education

Academic institutions should embed AI literacy within accounting programs, combining technical training on AI tools and data analytics with modules on ethics, bias detection, and data governance. This dual approach will prepare graduates not only to operate AI systems but also to question and refine them when necessary. For example, coursework could include both practical RPA implementation and case study analysis of AI-related ethical dilemmas in auditing.

2. Implement Ethical Oversight Mechanisms

Organizations should establish AI governance boards or oversight committees responsible for auditing algorithmic decisions, monitoring compliance with regulations, and addressing potential bias risks. This governance function should operate independently from system developers to ensure objectivity and accountability, especially in high-stakes tasks such as fraud detection or credit assessment.

3. Adopt Human–AI Collaboration Models

Companies should maintain human oversight for accounting activities requiring nuanced judgment or regulatory interpretation. A “human-in-the-loop” approach ensures that AI recommendations are validated by experienced professionals, particularly in cases where system outputs may be ambiguous or context-sensitive. This reduces the likelihood of automation complacency and strengthens trust in AI-driven outcomes.

4. Reskill and Redeploy Displaced Staff

Given the projected decline in traditional clerical roles, employers should provide structured retraining programs that prepare affected workers for emerging positions such as AI supervision, compliance auditing, or strategic analytics. Redeployment strategies could include partnerships with professional associations to deliver certifications in data analytics, AI ethics, and digital auditing.

5. Strengthen Regulatory Frameworks

Regulators, industry bodies, and technology providers should collaborate to establish clear standards for transparency, accountability, and fairness in AI-driven accounting processes. These frameworks should address requirements for explainability of AI decisions, bias mitigation protocols, and secure data management. Such standards will be essential for maintaining public trust and ensuring consistent compliance across industries.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Autor and Dorn. (2013). The growth of low-skill service jobs and the polarization of the US labor market. Retrieved October 4, 2024 from <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.103.5.1553>.
- Brown-Liburd, H., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2015). Big data and audit professionals: How will audit methods change? *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 409–420. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290221256_Big_Data_and_Audit_Evidence.
- Brynjolfsson and McAfee. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. Retrieved October 4, 2024 from https://books.google.nl/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WiKwAgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&ots=4-VvTf0s9b&sig=K9OVaf0ETK2DbxLARhwBVlvcASs&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false.
- Cooper et al. (2019). Robotic process automation in public accounting. Retrieved October 4, 2024 from <https://publications.aaahq.org/accounting-horizons/article-abstract/33/4/15/2405/Robotic-Process-Automation-in-Public-Accounting>.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2155979>.
- Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). *Only Humans Need Apply: Winners and Losers in the Age of Smart Machines*. HarperBusiness. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3614761>.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2018). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3021766>.
- Fanarredha. (2024). Accounting and AI: The impact of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Retrieved October 6, 2024 from <https://www.airbase.com/blog/accounting-ai>.
- Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30930541/>.
- Frey and Osborne. (2017). The future of employment: how susceptible are jobs to computerization?. Retrieved October 4, 2024 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162516302244>.

- Jorge Martinez. (2025). 67 Data Entry Statistics for 2025. Retrieved March 9, 2025 from <https://www.docuclipper.com/blog/data-entry-statistics/>.
- Jorge Martinez. (2025). Automated Data Entry Guide: Transforming Businesses Efficiently. Retrieved March 9, 2025 from <https://www.docuclipper.com/blog/automated-data-entry/>.
- Kee, R. (1993). Data Processing Technology and Accounting: A Historical Perspective. *Accounting Historians Journal*, 20(2). Retrieved March 1, 2025 from <https://www.accountingin.com/accounting-historians-journal/volume-20-number-2/data-processing-technology-and-accounting-a-historical-perspective/>.
- Kokina et al. (2021). Accountant as Digital Innovator: Roles and Competencies in the Age of Automation. Retrieved October 6, 2024 from <https://typeset.io/papers/accountant-as-digital-innovator-roles-and-competencies-in-16p8qw8ja5>.
- Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: Human+machine partnerships in accounting. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 14(1), 45–54. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315955305_The_Emergence_of_Artificial_Intelligence_How_Automation_is_Changing_Auditing.
- Lacity, M., & Willcocks, L. (2018). *Robotic Process Automation and Risk Mitigation: The Definitive Guide*. SB Publishing. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://www.sbpublishing.org/risk.html>.
- Lamar University. (2024). The Future of Accounting and Data Analytics: What You Need to Know. Retrieved March 23, 2025 from <https://degree.lamar.edu/online-programs/undergraduate/bs-management-accounting-analytics/the-future-of-data/>.
- Meagher. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Accounting. Retrieved March 23, 2025 from <https://www.learnsignal.com/blog/artificial-intelligence-in-accounting/>.
- Oprea et al. (2022). Accounting for the future: practice, Artificial Intelligence and regulation. Retrieved October 6, 2024 from <https://typeset.io/papers/accounting-for-the-future-practice-artificial-intelligence-1olcgozn>.
- Poli. (2023). AI Cuts Accounting Costs with Automation Study. Retrieved March 23, 2025 from <https://www.vintti.com/blog/ai-efficiency-a-quantitative-study-on-cost-reduction-in-accounting-through-automation>.
- Saad. (2024). Adapting accountants to the AI revolution: university strategies for skill enhancement, job security and competence in accounting. Retrieved 13 October, 2024 from <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/HESWBL-10-2023-0295/full/html#:~:text=As%20AI%20transforms%20the%20accounting,embracing%20experiential%20opportunities%20to%20equip>.

- Smith. (2024). From Data Entry to Decision Making: Transforming Modern Accounting with AI. Retrieved March 23, 2025 from <https://accountingforeveryone.com/from-data-entry-to-decision-making-the-role-of-ai-in-modern-accounting/>.
- Soori et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning in advanced robotics, a review. Retrieved March 23, 2025 from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogr.2023.04.001>.
- Sutton et al. (2016). “The reports of my death are greatly exaggerated”—Artificial intelligence research in accounting. Retrieved October 4, 2024 from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1467089516300823>.
- Warren, J. D., Moffitt, K. C., & Byrnes, P. (2015). How big data will change accounting. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 397–407. Retrieved November 15, 2024 from <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51069>.
- William & Mary. (2024). Evolution of the Accounting Profession: A Modern Transformation. Retrieved March 1, 2025 from <https://online.mason.wm.edu/blog/the-evolution-of-the-accounting-profession>.