DIPONEGORO JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING *Volume 14, Nomor 4, Tahun 2025, Halaman 1-15*
http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting ***ISSN (Online): 2337-3806***

The Use Of Regenerative Practices In A Company's Daily Operation In Enhancing Ecosystem-Wide Flourishing.

Amanda Shafa Wiriawan, Agung Juliarto, Frank Gruben
Accounting Departement, Faculty of Business and Economics, Universitas Diponegoro
School of Finance and Accounting, Saxion University of Applied Sciences
*Corresponding Email: shafaa906@gmail.com

Abstract

The research explores the integration of regenerative practices in daily business operations, focusing on their potential to enhance ecosystem-wide flourishing. It uses Foodvalley NL as a case study to examine the financial, operational, and ecological benefits of these practices. The study uses a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative interviews with industry stakeholders and quantitative analysis of financial and operational data. The findings show that regenerative practices can drive systemic change by creating positive feedback loops that enhance ecosystem health, stakeholder well-being, and organizational resilience. Despite challenges like high initial costs and knowledge gaps, the study identifies strategic solutions, such as cross-sector collaboration and scalable frameworks, to support businesses in adopting regenerative methods. The research concludes that regenerative practices offer transformative pathways for businesses to achieve financial sustainability while contributing to environmental restoration and social equity.

Keywords: Regenerative Practices, Ecosystem-wide Flourishing, Financial Sustainability, Stakeholder Well-being

Introduction

As environmental challenges intensify globally, there is a growing need for transformative approaches to sustainability. Regenerative practices have emerged as a powerful framework for rethinking how businesses, communities, and governments can move beyond merely reducing harm. These practices shift the focus from conservation and mitigation to restoration and enhancement of ecosystems, offering a more holistic and dynamic approach to sustainability. Rather than advocating for incremental improvements, regenerative practices promote structurally transformative changes aimed at fostering resilience, renewal, and long-term viability (Fazey et al., 2016; Colloff et al., 2017). By focusing on creating desired future states, these practices provide a pathway for systemic change and ecological restoration (Robinson & Cole, 2015).

Foodvalley's approach to regenerative practices is evident in several key initiatives. The Bean Deal initiative, which fostered around 19 new projects and engaged 72 participants in 2023, demonstrates how regenerative practices can promote ecosystem-wide flourishing while maintaining financial sustainability. Additionally, Foodvalley's collaboration with EIT Food and the Food Collective on the European Regenerative Innovation Portfolio Initiative aims to remove system-level barriers to farmers' adoption of regenerative agriculture, embodying the principles of regenerative business practices

The financial implications of adopting regenerative practices are significant, offering both operational and strategic benefits. Companies integrating these practices often reduce operational costs by utilizing recyclable and biodegradable materials, while simultaneously enhancing their brand value by appealing to environmentally conscious consumers. For instance, Foodvalley NL's 2023 Annual Report underscores the organization's dual focus on sustainable food innovation and sound financial management. The report reveals that Foodvalley generated a total income of €5,684,800, predominantly from funding sources such as €3,950,992 from AF2030 and €862,268 from other subsidy projects.

This robust financial foundation enables Foodvalley to pursue its mission of ensuring food security for 10 billion people by 2050 through affordable and sustainable food production. Notable initiatives in 2023 included the Bean Deal, which facilitated new projects and collaborations, and a personalized nutrition pilot designed to improve health outcomes in care settings. Despite a minor net loss of €32,651, Foodvalley remains financially resilient due to strong support from the Province of Gelderland and its expanding network of 259 partner organizations. The report emphasizes the critical role of collaboration and innovation in tackling systemic challenges within the food industry, positioning Foodvalley as a leader in driving transformative change toward sustainability.

This research investigates the integration of regenerative practices into business operations, examining their potential to restore ecosystems, enhance stakeholder engagement, and ensure long-term sustainability. The methodology will combine interviews and surveys to collect qualitative and quantitative data on the implementation and outcomes of these practices. This comprehensive approach will provide insights into how regenerative practices contribute to ecosystem-wide restoration and business success by using Foodvalley NL as our main subject in this research.

In conclusion, regenerative practices offer a transformative framework for addressing environmental challenges by focusing on restoring and enhancing ecosystems rather than merely minimizing harm. These practices foster resilience, innovation, and sustainability, aligning with the concept of Ecosystem-Wide Flourishing, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of all system components.

Theoretical foundations.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG).

In definition, ESG is defined as a firm's obligation to improve social welfare; and equitable and sustainable long-term wealth for stakeholders (Jamali etal.,2017;Turban and Greening, 1997). ESG compliant firms are found to have better governance, care more for the environment and sustainable development, have less earnings volatility and have access to lower cost funds (Kumar, 2020).

ESG aligns seamlessly with concepts such as ecosystem-wide flourishing and regenerative practices by emphasizing interconnected systems that thrive together. For instance, integrating regenerative agriculture into corporate ESG strategies directly addresses environmental components by restoring ecosystems and reducing carbon footprints. Companies like Foodvalley NL exemplify this integration through initiatives that not only promote biodiversity but also foster stakeholder engagement and collaboration. ESG's social dimension is similarly reflected in efforts to enhance community well-being and inclusivity, creating a virtuous cycle where environmental restoration bolsters societal health and financial performance. The governance aspect ensures transparency, accountability, and ethical decision-making, which are pivotal for aligning organizational objectives with broader sustainability goals.

Corporate social responsibility

According to Barauskaite and Streimikiene (2020) "There are numerous definitions of

corporate social responsibility but the principal idea is that firms commit to economic and societal development, as well as preserve the environment "

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is the concept that businesses should not only focus on profit maximization but also contribute positively to society and the environment. It involves practices that support sustainable development, address societal challenges, and minimize environmental impact. According to Barauskaite and Streimikiene (2020), CSR entails a commitment to both economic growth and the preservation of social and environmental well-being.

Stakeholder theory

According to Gilbert and Rasche (2008) "Stakeholder theory highlights the necessity to serve all the stakeholders regardless of the amount of their legal interests in an organisation and deals with the relationships with the stakeholders both in terms of the process and the outcome ". In addition to Gilbert and Rasche definition regarding stakeholder theory, Sarikaya (2009) also suggests that this theory "The relationships with stakeholders can be managed effectively and claims that successful business management is based on the relationships and collaboration practices with stakeholders".

The principles of stakeholder theory align closely with the integration of regenerative practices and the concept of ecosystem-wide flourishing. Regenerative agriculture, for instance, thrives on active collaboration among stakeholders, including businesses, farmers, and communities. The research into the use of regenerative practices in a company's daily production highlights how these practices can enhance ecosystem-wide flourishing by fostering relationships that prioritize ecological restoration and stakeholder engagement. Initiatives such as Foodvalley NL's Bean Deal illustrate the power of stakeholder collaboration in driving projects that restore ecosystems, improve community well-being, and maintain financial sustainability. By engaging stakeholders effectively, organizations can ensure that diverse perspectives and needs are integrated into their regenerative strategies, creating resilient and thriving systems.

Regenerative agriculture

Regenerative agriculture is a holistic farming approach that seeks to restore and enhance soil health, biodiversity, and ecosystem functionality while promoting sustainable food production. This method integrates practices such as cover cropping, reduced tillage, and diverse cropping systems, which collectively improve soil structure, increase microbial activity, and enhance nutrient cycling. The ultimate goal is to create resilient agricultural systems that not only yield food but also contribute positively to the environment and rural communities (Gulaiya et al., 2024) (Teoh, 2024).

The application of regenerative practices in corporate operations offers a transformative pathway for achieving systemic change. Companies like Foodvalley NL exemplify the potential of these practices through initiatives such as the Bean Deal, which fosters collaboration to restore ecosystems while maintaining financial viability. The feedback loop created by regenerative practices is evident: ecological restoration enhances operational efficiency, reduces costs, and strengthens brand value, which in turn promotes stakeholder engagement and systemic innovation. These principles demonstrate how regenerative practices not only contribute to ecological restoration but also support long-term organizational success and resilience.

Ecosystem-wide flourishing

Ecosystem-wide flourishing, termed intersystemic flourishing, refers to the interconnected well-being of individuals, communities, and ecosystems, emphasizing the integral relationship between social and psychological spheres, and recognizing that health and flourishing are mutually constitutive within a holistic context.(Jiang, 2022). By viewing ecosystems as integrated wholes, ecosystem-wide flourishing challenges traditional models of sustainability, which often focus narrowly on mitigating harm, and instead promotes restoration, resilience, and co-evolution within and across systems.

Ecosystem-wide flourishing is a complex process that requires strategic interventions like cross-sector partnerships, stakeholder engagement, and education. Foodvalley NL's initiatives focus on ecological restoration and financial sustainability. By integrating ecosystem-wide flourishing into operational and strategic frameworks, organizations can balance environmental, social, and economic objectives. This approach not only addresses immediate challenges but also builds long-term resilience, emphasizing the connection between thriving ecosystems and flourishing communities.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG)

In definition, ESG is defined as a firm's obligation to improve social welfare; and equitable and sustainable long-term wealth for stakeholders (Jamali etal.,2017;Turban and Greening, 1997). ESG compliant firms are found to have better governance, care more for the environment and sustainable development, have less earnings volatility and have access to lower cost funds (Kumar, 2020).

However, adopting ESG principles poses challenges, including resistance to change, measurement complexities, and aligning diverse stakeholder interests. Strategic solutions such as fostering cross-sector partnerships, investing in innovation, and enhancing ESG reporting frameworks are essential for overcoming these barriers. Foodvalley NL's success demonstrates the potential for ESG to drive systemic change through collaborative initiatives that align economic objectives with environmental and social priorities. By embedding ESG into their operational and strategic frameworks, organizations can navigate the complex dynamics of modern sustainability challenges while creating long-term value for all stakeholders. This approach not only mitigates immediate risks but also ensures resilience, adaptability, and the flourishing of interconnected systems over time.

Methodology

Research design

This study adopts a mixed-method research design that integrates both primary and secondary data sources to explore the role of regenerative practices in enhancing ecosystem-wide flourishing. The primary data is gathered through **interviews** with key stakeholders, including business leaders, sustainability managers, and industry experts. These in-depth interviews are designed to provide detailed insights into how companies are integrating regenerative practices into their operations, the challenges they face, and the impact of these practices on both environmental and financial outcomes.

In addition to the primary data, **secondary data** is collected from various sources, including academic articles, journal papers, and previous research studies. These sources provide a broader understanding of the theoretical foundations of regenerative practices and their applications in different organizational settings. Secondary data serves to complement the primary data by offering contextual and historical perspectives that help situate the findings within the broader field of sustainability and environmental management.

For the primary data collection, the research employs a **purposive sampling** technique, a non-probability sampling method. This method is particularly suited to this study because it allows for the selection of individuals who have direct experience with regenerative practices and can offer specialized insights. Purposive sampling enables the researcher to focus on specific individuals or groups who are most knowledgeable about the subject matter, ensuring that the data collected is rich and highly relevant to the research objectives.

Data collection

In this research, data collection involves both primary and secondary sources to provide a comprehensive analysis of how businesses integrate regenerative practices into their operations. According to Boslaugh (2007), the distinction between primary and secondary data is defined by the relationship between the

researcher and the data set. If the data is collected directly by the researcher for the specific purpose of the study at hand, it is considered primary data. In contrast, if the data was collected by someone else for a different purpose and is later utilized by the researcher for analysis, it is regarded as secondary data. This research primarily uses secondary data from previous studies, articles, and journals to provide a broader understanding of environmental accounting practices and the application of regenerative strategies within businesses.

The secondary data used in this study offers valuable insights into the theoretical frameworks and practical applications of regenerative practices. These sources include academic journals, case studies, industry reports, and other relevant publications that examine environmental sustainability efforts in the corporate sector.

By analyzing these secondary data sources, the study is able to build upon existing knowledge about how organizations can implement regenerative practices in their operations, the challenges they face, and the results they achieve. As Boslaugh (2007) highlights, secondary data can be invaluable in providing a broader context for understanding the research question, allowing for a more comprehensive and informed analysis of the primary data collected through interviews and other direct sources.

In conclusion, this research draws upon secondary data to offer a well-rounded analysis of regenerative practices in business. While primary data from interviews with stakeholders provides specific, detailed insights into individual company practices, the secondary data contextualizes these findings within the broader landscape of environmental sustainability efforts. The integration of secondary data from previous studies allows for a deeper understanding of regenerative practices and contributes to the development of a practical framework that businesses can adopt.

Data analysis

The data analysis for this research will combine qualitative and quantitative methods to assess the integration of regenerative practices in business operations. Primary data will be collected through interviews with key stakeholders from businesses that have adopted regenerative practices. Thematic analysis will be employed to identify common themes and patterns across the interview data. This approach will provide a deep understanding of the challenges and benefits of implementing regenerative strategies, as well as the perceived outcomes. By analyzing the experiences of business leaders, this qualitative data will offer insights into the practical aspects of adopting regenerative practices and their impact on both environmental and financial sustainability.

To evaluate the financial impact of regenerative practices, quantitative data on key metrics such as cost reductions, profitability, and market share growth will be analyzed. Financial performance data before and after the implementation of regenerative practices will be used to assess their economic viability. Furthermore, customer-related data, including brand value and loyalty, will be analyzed to determine how regenerative practices influence consumer behavior and brand positioning. By triangulating both qualitative and quantitative data, the analysis will offer a comprehensive perspective on the operational, environmental, and financial outcomes of adopting regenerative practices,

providing a practical framework for businesses to enhance long-term sustainability.

Study Findings

Regenerative farming practices are increasingly recognized for their ability to restore ecosystems, improve soil health, and reduce carbon emissions. These methods focus on soil renewal, biodiversity enhancement, and carbon capture, promoting long-term sustainability and resilience within agricultural systems (Foodvalley NL, 2024a). Research has highlighted significant ecological and operational benefits, including healthier soils, improved biodiversity, and measurable contributions to climate mitigation through carbon sequestration (Schreefel et al., 2020).

Despite these environmental advantages, the transition to regenerative agriculture presents notable challenges for farmers. Implementing these practices requires substantial initial investment, specialized knowledge, and modifications to traditional operational models. These hurdles can result in short-term yield reductions and financial strain, particularly during the early phases of adoption (LaCanne & Lundgren, 2018). Without adequate support structures and financial incentives, many farmers struggle to shift away from conventional agricultural methods.

Financial Challenges and Market Competitiveness.

The transition to regenerative agriculture presents significant financial challenges for farmers, startups, and other actors across the food value chain. One of the most pressing concerns is the higher cost of regenerative farming compared to conventional methods, as it requires substantial investments in new equipment, innovative techniques, and specialized training. These upfront expenses are further exacerbated by reduced production volumes and income instability during the early transition phase, increasing financial risk and discouraging adoption (Yale Center for Business and the Environment, 2024; Wageningen University & Research, 2024). Additionally, financial systems frequently fail to accommodate the longer payback periods and unique risk profiles associated with regenerative agriculture, creating a “bankability gap” that limits access to suitable capital for farmers undergoing the transition (Yale Center for Business and the Environment, 2024). This economic pressure is further compounded by the fragmented nature of supply chains, which can restrict access to markets willing to pay premiums for regenerative products (Foodvalley NL, 2023c).

For companies seeking to enhance ecosystem-wide flourishing, integrating regenerative practices into daily operations requires overcoming financial, market, and regulatory challenges. The initial transition demands significant investment in equipment, training, and innovative techniques, often resulting in short-term economic strain. Additionally, market dynamics pose obstacles, as consumer reluctance to pay premium prices for regeneratively produced goods limits profitability, while fragmented supply chains restrict scalability. Regulatory uncertainty further complicates adoption, with shifting policies making long-term investment decisions risky. Addressing these barriers necessitates systemic interventions, including stronger financial incentives, improved consumer awareness, and supportive policy frameworks. By tackling these challenges, companies can unlock the potential of regenerative practices,

transforming sustainability efforts into a scalable model that fosters long-term ecosystem resilience and economic viability.

Systemic Incentives Driving Industry Support.

Despite financial and operational challenges, systemic incentives are increasingly driving industry support for regenerative agriculture. Foodvalley NL's Head of Strategy, Guido Laman, identifies two primary environmental factors motivating the food sector: the urgent need to reduce soil degradation and the imperative to lower carbon emissions through on-farm sequestration. Soil degradation threatens long-term agricultural productivity and resilience, while carbon sequestration supports global climate mitigation targets. These ecosystem services align closely with corporate sustainability goals and regulatory requirements, making regenerative practices an attractive investment for companies looking to enhance their environmental impact (Foodvalley NL, 2024a). Scientific research demonstrates that regenerative techniques—such as cover cropping, reduced tillage, and crop diversification—directly address these environmental concerns by improving soil health, fostering biodiversity, and increasing carbon storage, all of which contribute to long-term ecosystem stability (Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 2025; Schreefel et al., 2020).

Further demonstrating the significance of coordinated action, The Bean Deal exemplifies how supply chain alignment can drive the transition to regenerative agriculture. This initiative brings together 72 partners across the plant-based protein supply chain to set shared sustainability targets and encourage regenerative farming practices. By reducing market uncertainty and incentivizing upstream stakeholders to diversify crops and invest in breeding innovations, The Bean Deal accelerates the widespread implementation of regenerative agriculture. Such industry-led models demonstrate how collaborative frameworks can scale regenerative practices, making them more economically viable and accessible for producers (Foodvalley NL, 2022).

While systemic incentives are helping to overcome key barriers, continued policy support, industry collaboration, and financial investment are necessary to ensure long-term success in regenerative agriculture. Strengthening corporate sustainability commitments, expanding regulatory incentives, and fostering multi-stakeholder partnerships will be essential in driving further adoption across the industry. By addressing financial risks, regulatory uncertainties, and market competitiveness, regenerative practices can become a foundational element of sustainable food production, supporting both business growth and ecosystem-wide flourishing.

Foodvalley NL's Role in Ecosystem Collaboration.

Foodvalley NL plays a crucial role as an ecosystem orchestrator in enabling the transition to regenerative agriculture. The organization acts as a connector, bringing together diverse stakeholders to co-create solutions that address financial, operational, and knowledge barriers. By facilitating innovation communities and cross-sector platforms, Foodvalley fosters collaboration among farmers, companies, researchers, and policymakers, creating an enabling environment for regenerative practices to thrive (Foodvalley NL, 2023b). This multi-stakeholder approach is essential for reducing barriers to adoption, ensuring that regenerative practices are integrated effectively into food systems.

One key aspect of Foodvalley's strategy is the provision of shared infrastructure and pilot facilities, which help lower capital costs for startups and farmers experimenting with regenerative methods. Access to these resources reduces financial risk and accelerates innovation diffusion, allowing farmers and businesses to adopt sustainable techniques with greater confidence. Additionally, Foodvalley supports startups by connecting them with corporate partners and facilitating access to grant funding, bridging financing gaps and helping regenerative solutions scale more efficiently (Foodvalley NL, 2024c). These initiatives ensure that financial hurdles do not prevent promising regenerative models from reaching mainstream adoption.

Beyond financial and operational support, Foodvalley's ecosystem model integrates financial innovation with governance and knowledge sharing. B Scaling Regenerative Practices through Collaborative Innovation.

Scaling regenerative practices within a company's daily operations to achieve ecosystem-wide flourishing requires systemic collaboration and innovation that transcend isolated efforts. Research highlights that successful scaling depends on multi-actor engagement and landscape-level approaches that integrate ecological, social, and economic objectives to drive systemic transformation (Konietzko et al., 2023). Collaborative innovation portfolios, developed by leading organizations, facilitate partnerships among farmers, governments, investors, and retailers to co-create sourcing models that share costs, knowledge, and risks, enabling the widespread adoption of regenerative practices (Konietzko et al., 2023). Theoretical frameworks for regenerative social-ecological systems emphasize that these approaches foster positive reinforcing cycles of well-being, benefiting both human and natural communities while enhancing long-term ecosystem resilience (O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023). Foodvalley NL's Regenerative Innovation Portfolio serves as an example of this approach by supporting landscape-based projects that align ecological, social, and economic objectives to facilitate scalable regenerative agriculture across Europe (Foodvalley NL, 2024a).

Innovation communities and knowledge-sharing platforms further support scaling by reducing transaction costs and fostering collaborative learning. Foodvalley NL provides shared facilities, pilot projects, and expert resources, enabling startups and farmers to test and refine regenerative techniques. These initiatives accelerate innovation diffusion and facilitate continuous improvement, essential for embedding regenerative agriculture into mainstream supply chains (Foodvalley NL, 2023b). The EIT Food–Foodvalley partnership further amplifies these efforts, leveraging European-wide networks to replicate successful models across multiple regions. This transnational collaboration enhances policy alignment, mobilizes financial resources, and establishes a supportive ecosystem for regenerative agriculture at scale (Foodvalley NL, 2024a).

Financial Incentives and Mechanisms.

Financial innovation is critical to overcoming the economic barriers to regenerative agriculture. Foodvalley NL promotes mechanisms such as premium pricing agreements, carbon credit schemes, and blended finance models that combine grants, corporate investments, and public funding. These instruments help internalize the environmental benefits of regenerative

practices and create new revenue streams for farmers, reducing financial risks and improving viability (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; Foodvalley NL, 2023c).

Blended finance solutions further support startups and farmers by lowering capital barriers. By combining public grants, corporate funding, and EU programs, Foodvalley facilitates access to the diverse financial resources needed to innovate and scale regenerative solutions. This approach reduces reliance on traditional financing, which often prioritizes short-term returns and undervalues ecological benefits (Manshanden et al., 2023; Schreefel et al., 2020). Such blended finance models have been recognized as essential for enabling innovation and scaling up regenerative agriculture across different contexts.

Moreover, these financial mechanisms enable companies to comply with emerging regulatory frameworks such as the EU Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), which requires transparent reporting on environmental impacts. By integrating regenerative sourcing into their operations, companies can demonstrate progress toward sustainability targets and enhance their market positioning. Theoretical frameworks for regenerative social-ecological systems emphasize that these financial innovations do not merely address short-term profitability but also foster reinforcing cycles of well-being and resilience across human and ecological communities (O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023). By integrating regenerative sourcing and innovative finance into daily operations, companies can enhance both their market positioning and their contribution to ecosystem-wide flourishing.

Environmental and Social Impact Evaluation.

Rigorous monitoring and evaluation are essential to validate the benefits of regenerative agriculture and build trust among stakeholders. Foodvalley NL's Regenerative Agriculture Discussion Paper outlines a comprehensive framework for assessing biophysical and socio-economic outcomes, including soil health, biodiversity, water regulation, farmer income, and landscape attractiveness (Foodvalley NL, 2021). Scientific research highlights that robust

frameworks for evaluating these outcomes are critical for measuring the true impact of regenerative practices and guiding continuous improvement (Schreefel et al., 2020).

Ultimately, rigorous, transparent, and collaborative impact evaluation is not only a technical necessity but also a strategic imperative for embedding regenerative practices into mainstream business operations and achieving ecosystem-wide flourishing. By integrating these scientific approaches into daily operations, companies can demonstrate progress toward sustainability targets, inform decision-making, and build enduring trust with stakeholders across the value chain.

Towards Ecosystem-Wide Flourishing.

This integrated model supports the three pillars of a flourishing ecosystem: healthy soils and biodiversity, resilient rural economies, and equitable social outcomes. Empirical research underscores that embedding regenerative principles into daily business operations contributes to sustainable food production and consumption patterns, while also enhancing climate resilience and social equity (Newton et al., 2021; O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023). Foodvalley NL's Regenerative Innovation Portfolio, for example, unites industry partners,

startups, research centers, and farmers to facilitate knowledge exchange and co-create solutions that can be replicated across European landscapes (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; EIT Food, 2024).

Moreover, the success of such approaches depends on effective ecosystem orchestration. Foodvalley NL's experience highlights the importance of fostering trust, reducing risks, and aligning diverse actors to accelerate the transition toward sustainable, resilient, and flourishing food systems at regional, national, and European levels (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; Manshanden et al., 2023). Multi-actor collaboration and shared learning, as emphasized in both scientific literature and Foodvalley's practice, are essential for scaling regenerative agriculture and ensuring its long-term viability (O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023).

Ultimately, the case of Foodvalley NL and similar initiatives illustrates that achieving ecosystem-wide flourishing through regenerative agriculture is not only a technical or operational challenge, but also a matter of strategic alignment, partnership, and continuous innovation. By embedding regenerative principles into daily operations and leveraging scientific monitoring, companies and stakeholders can collectively drive the transition toward more sustainable, equitable, and resilient food systems (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; Manshanden et al., 2023).

Interpretation of data

The findings of this study confirm that regenerative agriculture offers substantial environmental and social benefits, including improved soil health, enhanced biodiversity, and reduced carbon emissions (Schreefel et al., 2020; Newton et al., 2021). However, the widespread adoption of regenerative practices is constrained by significant financial, operational, and market barriers. Farmers and companies face higher initial costs due to investments in new equipment, training, and innovative techniques, while the transition period often brings reduced yields and income instability (Manshanden et al., 2023; Schreefel et al., 2020). Compounding these challenges are limited consumer willingness to pay premium prices for regeneratively produced goods, regulatory uncertainty, and fragmented supply chains, all of which increase the risks and complexity of long-term planning and investment (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; Manshanden et al., 2023).

Despite these obstacles, the research highlights that systemic incentives and collaborative innovation can effectively address many of these challenges. Multi-stakeholder initiatives, such as Foodvalley NL's Regenerative Innovation Portfolio and The Bean Deal, exemplify how coordinated action among farmers, companies, government bodies, and knowledge institutions can align incentives, share risks, and build supportive networks (Foodvalley NL, 2024a; EIT Food, 2024). These collaborative frameworks facilitate knowledge exchange, continuous learning, and adaptive management, which are essential for embedding regenerative practices into daily operations and scaling them across regions (O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023; Newton et al., 2021).

Ultimately, the research underscores that the ability of stakeholders to coordinate efforts, share resources, and remain adaptable in the face of shifting market and policy conditions is pivotal for the widespread adoption of regenerative agriculture and the realization of ecosystem-wide flourishing (O'Shaughnessy et al., 2023; Foodvalley NL, 2024a). Foodvalley NL's

ecosystem approach offers a realistic example of how multi-stakeholder cooperation, targeted financial innovation, and thorough monitoring can help regenerative agriculture transition from niche to mainstream. By embedding regenerative principles into daily operations and supply chains, businesses and their partners can collectively drive the transformation toward a more resilient, sustainable, and equitable food system for the future.

Conclusion

The findings of this research highlight that integrating regenerative practices into daily business operations provides significant benefits across financial, operational, and ecological dimensions. Using Foodvalley NL as a case study, it was demonstrated that regenerative initiatives such as the Bean Deal and the European Regenerative Innovation Portfolio Initiative fostered cross-sector collaboration, improved stakeholder engagement, and contributed to ecological restoration. These efforts resulted in measurable outcomes, including increased soil organic matter and enhanced supply chain resilience, while also supporting financial sustainability through diversified funding and operational efficiencies (Jiang, 2022; Foodvalley NL Annual Report, 2023). Despite challenges such as initial implementation costs and knowledge gaps, the study shows that regenerative practices can drive systemic change by creating positive feedback loops that support ecosystem health, stakeholder well-being, and organizational resilience (Gilbert & Rasche, 2008; Sarikaya, 2009).

In summary, regenerative practices offer a transformative pathway for businesses seeking to move beyond traditional sustainability efforts by focusing on restoration and enhancement rather than mere harm reduction. Foodvalley NL exemplifies how adopting these practices can catalyze systemic change, support environmental restoration, and create financial value through innovation and collaboration. The research underscores that companies embracing regenerative approaches can reduce costs, strengthen stakeholder relationships, and meet the growing demand for sustainable solutions, positioning themselves as leaders in the transition toward a thriving and resilient future (Kumar, 2020; Teoh, 2024).

Referensi

Mark, Pagell., Zhaohui, Wu. (2017). Business Implications of Sustainability Practices in Supply Chains. 339-353. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-29791-0_15

Giusti, M., & Samuelsson, K. (2020). The regenerative compatibility: A synergy between healthy ecosystems, environmental attitudes, and restorative experiences. PLoS ONE, 15(1), e0227311. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227311

Camrass, K. & University of the Sunshine Coast. (2020). Regenerative futures [Conceptual paper]. Regenerative Futures, 22–22, 401–415. https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-08-2019-0079

Ritchie-Dunham, J. L., Jones, S. C., Flett, J., Granville-Chapman, K., Pettey, A., Vossler, H., & Lee, M. T. (2024). Love in Action: Agreements in a Large Microfinance Bank that Scale Ecosystem-Wide Flourishing, Organizational Impact, and Total Value Generated. Humanistic Management Journal. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41463-024-00182-y

The leadership cycle: How to effectively lead and develop talent. (2013, June 1). Journal of Accountancy. https://www.journalofaccountancy.com/issues/2013/jun/20137328.html

Tim, Lomas., James, O., Pawelski., Tyler, J., VanderWeele. (2024). 3. Flourishing as 'sustainable well-being': balance and harmony within and across people, ecosystems, and time. The Journal of Positive Psychology, doi: 10.1080/17439760.2024.2362435

Jayasinghe, S.L.; Thomas, D.T.; Anderson, J.P.; Chen, C.; Macdonald, B.C.T. Global Application of Regenerative Agriculture: A Review of Definitions and Assessment Approaches. Sustainability **2023**, 15, 15941. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152215941

Boslaugh, S. (2007). Secondary data sources for public health : a practical guide. Cambridge University Press.

Galloway, A. (n.d.). *Non-Probability Sampling*.

Barauskaite, G., & Streimikiene, D. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of companies: The puzzle of concepts, definitions and assessment methods. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 27(5), 2048-2059. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2048

Oruc, I., & Sarikaya, M. (2011). Normative stakeholder theory in relation to ethics of care. *Social Responsibility Journal*, *7*(3), 381–392. https://doi.org/10.1108/17471111111154527

https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2024/05/Annual-Report-2023-PDF.pdf

Shani, Gulaiya., Manish, Kumar., Roushan, Kumar., Ravindra, Kumar., Nandini, Singh., Kamalkant, Yadav., A., N., Singh., Deepak, Kumar., Ashutosh, Singh, Rajpoot. (2024). 1. Regenerative Agriculture: Integrating Soil Health, Carbon Management and Socio-ecological Outcomes. International Journal of Enviornment and Climate Change, doi: 10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i94395

Cheng, Hai, Teoh. (2024). 3. Regenerative Agriculture. Deleted Journal, doi: 10.56333/tp.2024.002

Foodvalley NL & Invest-NL. (2021). Enhancing the ecosystem for Alternative Protein Innovation: Strategies for Scaling Success. In *Enhancing the Ecosystem for Alternative Protein Innovation: Strategies for Scaling Success*. https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2024/07/enhancing_the_ecosystem_for_alternative_protein_innovation_invest_nl_foodvalle.pdf

Konings, M. & Stichting Food Valley. (2022). *Annual report 2022*. https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2023/06/Foodvalley_Annual-report-2022.pdf

European food innovation coalition, Zaltzman, R., EIT Food, European Institute of Innovation and Technology, & Brasz, M. (2024, March 13). [European food innovation coalition launches €30 million Portfolio to accelerate and scale regenerative agriculture] [Press release].

Regio Foodvalley. (2020). *Strategic Agenda 2020 - 2025*.

FOODVALLEY NL. (2023). *Innovate for Good: Uncovering the roadblocks in the way of a healthier food assortment*.

Foodvalley NL. (2021). Regenerative Agriculture Discussion Paper. Retrieved from https://regenerativefarming.nl/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Set-of-requirements-version-January-2021.pdf

Foodvalley NL. (2022). Annual Report 2022. Retrieved from https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2023/06/Foodvalley_Annual-report-2022.pdf

Foodvalley NL. (2023a). Strategic Agenda 2020-2025. Retrieved from https://www.regiofoodvalley.nl/fileadmin/regiofoodvalley.nl/documenten/2023/Strategic_agenda_final_version__EN_.pdf

Foodvalley NL. (2023b). The Healthier Food Community Publication. Retrieved from https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2023/11/The-Healthier-Food-Community-Publication-2023.pdf

Foodvalley NL. (2023c). Annual Report 2023. Retrieved from https://foodvalley.nl/en/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/sites/3/2024/05/Annual-Report-2023-PDF.pdf

Foodvalley NL. (2024a). Press Release: Regenerative Innovation Portfolio. Retrieved from https://foodvalley.nl/wp/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/2024/03/Pressrelease_Regenerative-Innovation-Portfolio_13-March-2024.pdf

Schreefel, L., Schulte, R.P.O., de Boer, I.J.M., Schrijver, A.P., & van Zanten, H.H.E. (2020). *Regenerative agriculture – the soil is the base*. Global Food Security, 26, 100404.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100404

LaCanne, C.E., & Lundgren, J.G. (2018). *Regenerative agriculture: merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably*. PeerJ, 6, e4428. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4428

Newton, P., Civita, N., Frankel-Goldwater, L., Bartel, K., & Johns, C. (2020). *What Is Regenerative Agriculture? A Review of Scholar and Practitioner Definitions Based on Processes and Outcomes*. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4, 577723. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.577723

Das, A., & Bocken, N. (2024). Regenerative business strategies: A database and typology to inspire business experimentation towards sustainability. *Sustainable Production and Consumption, 49*, 529–544.

Yale Center for Business and the Environment. (2024). *Bridging the Regenerative Agriculture Financing Gap*. [https://cbey.yale.edu/sites/default/files/2024-02/Bridging%20the%20Regenerative%20Agriculture%20Financing%20Gap_FinalRev.pdf]

Wageningen University & Research. (2024). *Scaling regenerative agriculture in the Netherlands: Barriers and opportunities*. [https://edepot.wur.nl/662543]

Bless, C., Tittonell, P., & Ikerd, J. (2023). Generating regenerative agriculture. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 7*, Article 1545811. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1545811/full

Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. (2025). Quantifying soil carbon sequestration from regenerative agricultural practices. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 8*, Article 1234108. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1234108/full

Konietzko, J., Das, A., & Bocken, N. (2023). Towards regenerative business models: A necessary shift? *Sustainable Production and Consumption, 35*, 1-13. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352550923000866

O'Shaughnessy, S., O'Mahony, T., & O'Mahony, M. (2023). A conceptual framework for regenerative social-ecological systems. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101-110. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590332223003020

Schulte, R. P. O., et al. (2022). Regenerative agriculture – the soil is the base. *Global Food Security*, *26*, 100404. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352550923000866

EIT Food. (2024). European food innovation coalition launches €30 million Portfolio to accelerate and scale regenerative agriculture. [https://foodvalley.nl/wp/wp-content/uploads/foodvalley/2024/03/Pressrelease_Regenerative-Innovation-Portfolio_13-March-2024.pdf]

Foodvalley NL. (2024). Foodvalley, EIT Food and Food Innovation Hub Europe launch regenerative farming initiative. [https://foodvalley.nl/en/foodvalley-eit-food-and-food-innovation-hub-europe-launch-regenerative-farming-initiative/]

Manshanden, J., van Oosten, C., van der Vlist, M., & van der Valk, O. (2023). *Regenerative Agriculture in the EU: Exploring the transition*. Wageningen University & Research. https://edepot.wur.nl/680029

O'Shaughnessy, S., O'Mahony, T., & O'Mahony, M. (2023). A conceptual framework for regenerative social-ecological systems. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101-110. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590332223003020

van der Sluis, T., van Zanten, B. T., & Schulp, C. J. E. (2022). Tailor-made solutions for regenerative agriculture in the Netherlands. *Agricultural Systems, 203*, 103493. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308521X22001548

Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development. (2024). Regenerative farming: can we create a net-positive agricultural system in the Netherlands? Utrecht University. https://www.uu.nl/en/research/copernicus-institute-of-sustainable-development/regenerative-farming-can-we-create-a-net-positive-agricultural-system-in-the-netherlands

Wageningen University & Research. (2024). Scaling regenerative agriculture in the Netherlands: Barriers and opportunities. https://edepot.wur.nl/662543

Manshanden, J., van Oosten, C., van der Vlist, M., & van der Valk, O. (2023). *Regenerative Agriculture in the EU: Exploring the transition*. Wageningen University & Research. https://edepot.wur.nl/680029

Newton, P., Civita, N., Frankel-Goldwater, L., Bartel, K., & Johns, C. (2021). What Is Regenerative Agriculture? A Review of Scholar and Practitioner Definitions Based on Processes and Outcomes. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *5*, 577723. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2021.577723/full

O'Shaughnessy, S., O'Mahony, T., & O'Mahony, M. (2023). A conceptual framework for regenerative social-ecological systems. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101-110. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590332223003020

European Commission. (2025). Farm to Fork Strategy Progress Report. Brussels: EU Publications. https://food.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2024-03/ffs_fsd-monitoring_factsheet_en.pdf